

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/060,832	01/29/2002	Naoya Iwama	ALPSP063	8398
22434 75	34 7590 01/09/2004		EXAMINER	
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			LUEBKE, RENEE S	
P.O. BOX 778 BERKELEY, CA 94704-0778			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/060,832	IWAMA
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Renee S. Luebke	2833
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported to the communication apport.	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Dec	cember 8, 2003 .	
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The state of the	his action is non-final.	
3)⊠ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims		
-	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-7</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication	
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	•	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will from consideration.	
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•
·	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-7 is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
	ion Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approved b)∏ o	disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	Application No
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		
) The translation of the foreign language process Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest		
Attachmen			
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	· —	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application Number: 10/060,832 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The specification is objected to because the last sentence of the amended paragraph beginning on page 4, line 2, remains unclear. It is grammatically incorrect and its meaning is unknown. Appropriate corrections are required.

2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 lacks antecedent basis for "the adjacent penetration holes" on lines 8-9. It is suggested that "the" be deleted.

The last section of claim 1 remains unclear because the suggestion that the grooves "are displaced" has no basis from which the displacing is done. In general, the meaning of the last section of claim 1 is still unclear.

Claim 2 lacks antecedent basis for ""the guide holes" on the last line. In addition, it is unclear what a "forming direction" is in regard to these guide holes.

Appropriate corrections are required.

3. Claims 1, 2 and 4-7 contain allowable subject matter.

4. This application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters discussed above.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

5. It is suggested that responses to this final action be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

Please refrain from sending a confirmation copy, as noted in 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(b).

Application Number: 10/060,832 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

Alternatively, responses may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

For additional information regarding this new address, which was effective May 1, 2003, see *Correspondence with the United States Patent and Trademark Office*, 68 Fed. Reg. 14332 (March 25, 2003).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Crystal Plaza 4, Fourth Floor (Receptionist) 2201 South Clark Place, Arlington, Virginia.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (703) 308-1511. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (703) 308-2319.

Renee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

January 7, 2004